



Village of Hanover Park Administration

Municipal Building
2121 Lake Street
Hanover Park, IL 60133-4398

630-823-5600
FAX 630-823-5786
www.hpil.org

PRESIDENT
RODNEY S. CRAIG

VILLAGE CLERK
EIRA CORRAL

TRUSTEES
WILLIAM CANNON
JAMES KEMPER
JENNI KONSTANZER
JON KUNKEL
RICK ROBERTS
EDWARD J. ZIMEL, JR.

VILLAGE MANAGER
JULIANA A. MALLER

VILLAGE OF HANOVER PARK DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

**Municipal Building, Room 214
Hanover Park, IL**

Thursday, February 13, 2014

7:00 p.m.

AGENDA

1. **CALL TO ORDER: ROLL CALL**
2. **PLEDGE OF ALLIEGENCE:**
3. **ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA:**
4. **PRESENTATIONS/REPORTS:**
5. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**
 - 5-a. December 12, 2013
6. **ACTION ITEMS:**
 - 6-a. **Public Hearing:** Continued from meeting of December 12, 2013..
Consideration of a text amendment pursuant to the Public Act 098-0122 cited as the Compassionate Use of Medical Cannabis Pilot Program Act, on the question of whether the Zoning Ordinance should be amended to include Distribution Facilities as a Special Use or whether the Zoning or District Map should be changed.
7. **TOWNHALL SESSION:**
Persons wishing to address the public body must register prior to Call to Order. Please note that public comment is limited to 5 minutes per speaker.
8. **OLD BUSINESS (NON-ACTION ITEMS):** None.
9. **NEW BUSINESS (NON-ACTION ITEMS):**
 - 9-a. Community Development Update
10. **ADJOURNMENT:**



Village of Hanover Park Administration

Municipal Building
2121 Lake Street
Hanover Park, IL 60133-4398

630-823-5600
FAX 630-823-5786
www.hpil.org

PRESIDENT
RODNEY S. CRAIG

VILLAGE CLERK
EIRA CORRAL

TRUSTEES
WILLIAM CANNON
JAMES KEMPER
JENNI KONSTANZER
JON KUNKEL
RICK ROBERTS
EDWARD J. ZIMEL, JR.

VILLAGE MANAGER
JULIANA A. MALLER

. Agenda Item 5-a

VILLAGE OF HANOVER PARK DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION WORKSHOP

Municipal Building, Village Board Room 214
2121 W. Lake Street
Hanover Park, IL 60133

Thursday, January 16, 2014
6:30 p.m.

MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER: ROLL CALL

Chairperson Wachsmuth called the meeting to order at 6:31 p.m.

PRESENT: Commissioners: Arthur Berthelot, Mark Mercier, Scot Neil,
Gary Rasmussen, James Aird, Auxiliary
Member Katie Ruder, Chairperson
Virginia Wachsmuth

ABSENT: Commissioners: Patrick Watkins
ALSO PRESENT: Director Shubhra Govind, Inspectional Services
Chief Anne Marie Hess, Village Planner
Katie Bowman, Secretary Regina Mullen

2. PLEDGE OF ALLIEGENCE:

3. ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA:

Motion by Commissioner Berthelot to accept the Agenda, seconded by Commissioner Rasmussen.

Voice Vote:

All AYES.

Motion Carried: Agenda Accepted.

4. PRESENTATIONS/REPORTS: None.

4. DISCUSSION TOPICS:

4-a. Review of DuPage County Lake Street Corridor Planning Study.

Village Planner Bowman presented the DuPage County Lake Street Corridor Planning Study evaluating existing and future land use in unincorporated areas along Lake Street from I-355 to the Elgin O’Hare Expressway (I-390). The plan includes site development guidelines including pedestrian and bicycle access and incorporating improvements. We will be able to utilize this Corridor Study as promotion of the intersection of the northwest corner of Lake & Gary and to promote its potential for retail. It was recommended that zoning between the county and the communities be consistent, bringing unincorporated areas up to the standards of neighboring communities as they get developed. General feedback will be presented to the Village Board on February 6, 2014 and to the County Board at their Workshop in the next couple of weeks.

Commissioners held a general discussion and appreciated the study as a reference for future developments. They were encouraged and excited to work with neighboring communities and felt the land uses, as presented, to be acceptable.

Commissioner Mercier arrives at 6:50 p.m.

4-b. Unified Development Ordinance Update Review – Landscape, Variance, and Site Plan Review Regulations

Village Planner Bowman – As a follow up to Commission feedback from their July 11, 2013 Workshop, at which this Commission provided recommendations and updates to the existing Zoning codes regarding landscaping regulations, staff has received additional information from comparable neighboring communities and consultant Teska Associates in updating the Village Landscape Regulations in the Unified Development Ordinance.

Commissioners reviewed the updates and made additional comments to the draft to clarify, refine and maintain a comprehensive Landscape regulation.

Regarding the Variance and Site Plan Review Regulations, Village Planner Bowman reviewed changes that were made to these areas.

Regarding Division 5 Site Plan Review, 5.2 Authority, (5) Major Building Renovations and Tenant Changes (physical improvements), Village Planner

Bowman and Chief Hess will continue their research in determining the percentage of physical improvements versus value of work that constitutes a major building renovation.

Regarding Division 5 Site Plan Review, 5.2.b, Community & Economic Development Director Govind and Village Planner Bowman will continue their research regarding minor variations that may be approved administratively.

Staff will work on incorporating the minor changes recommended by the Commission and email changes to the Commission. Staff directed the Commission not to “reply all” to the email, as no discussion is allowed as a group other than in a public meeting format. Should a Commissioner have a change, they should send their comments directly to Director Govind or Village Planner Bowman, only.

Chairperson Wachsmuth entertained a Motion to recommend approval of the updates to the Landscape Regulations with noted changes to be completed by Staff to the Commissioners, via email. This would provide direction for the incorporation into the 2013 second draft of the Unified Development Ordinance.

Motion by Commissioner Berthelot, and seconded by Commissioner Mercier.

Roll Call Vote:

AYES:	Commissioners:	Aird, Berthelot, Mercier, Neil, Rasmussen, Auxiliary Member Ruder, Chairperson Wachsmuth.
NAYS:	Commissioner:	None.
ABSENT:	Commissioners:	Watkins.

Motion Carried: Incorporate changes to the Landscape Regulations and email to Commissioners for review. Any comments to be directed only to Director Govind and/or Village Planner Katie Bowman.

4-c. Discussion Regarding Distribution Facilities for Medical Marijuana

Director Govind noted the Village Board, at their January 9, 2014 meeting, extended the moratorium on cannabis dispensaries and related facilities for 180 days, allowing staff and this Commission time to research the zoning issues and formulate their recommendations to the Village Board for consideration.

The State will allow 22 cultivation centers, one per police district, and 60 dispensaries, with no regulations on how many per county or police district.

Director Govind indicated there is a very small portion of the Village that is available for a potential location of a cultivation center, and referred the Commission to a triangular area where the Fuji Films is located in the Turnberry Business Park.

The Commission reviewed each issue and staff recommendation that included:

Issue 1 – What zoning districts should Dispensing Facility uses be allowed in?

Staff recommended: Dispensing facilities be permissible in certain industrial districts and, using the State’s distance requirements from schools and daycares, staff recommended these facilities be located at least 1000 ft from a residential use or any area zoned for residential use.

Commission Voice Vote on Staff Recommendation:

Voice Vote

Ayes – 1 Commissioner

Nays – 6 Commissioners

However, majority did agree that Dispensing Facilities should be located at least 1,000 ft from another dispensing facility, to discourage concentration in any geographical area.

Issue 2 – Should Cultivation Centers and Dispensing Facilities be allowed as special or conditional uses?

Staff recommended Cultivation Centers and Dispensing Facilities be Special Uses in Industrial Districts.

Commission Voice Vote on Staff Recommendation:

Voice Vote

Conditional Use - 1 Commissioners

Special Use – 4 Commissioners

Nays – 2 Commissioners

4 Commissioners did not agree with limiting it to industrial area.

Issue 3 – Should specific criteria be developed to evaluate potential locations? Some communities are using criteria relating to installing security cameras, making sure the parking lot is well lite, making sure there is no drive-thru, making sure that everything is clearly visible from the perspective of enforcement that there is no area that could be hidden from view.

Staff recommended: Referred the Commissioners to above recommended criteria.

Commission Voice Vote on Staff Recommendation

Nays. 2 Commissioner sagreed that no drive-throughs should be allowed.

Issue 4 – Should Dispensing Facilities be stand-alone buildings or is it acceptable for these uses to be located in a multi-tenant building?

Staff recommended the use be stand-alone buildings, instead of a multi-tenant building. Staff also recommends that no drive-thru be allowed.

Commission Voice Vote on Staff Recommendation:

All Nays. Commissioners stated either type of building should be allowed.

Issue 5 – Should retail of paraphernalia (to enable patients to ingest the medication) be permitted within the dispensaries?

Staff recommended that no drug paraphernalia be allowed to be sold at the Dispensing Facilities, as per the Police Department's recommendation.

Commission Voice Vote on Staff Recommendation:

All Nays. Drug paraphernalia should be allowed to be sold at Dispensing Facilities.

Issue 6 – Should there be any specific restrictions/requirements related to signage for the dispensaries?

Staff recommended signs shall not include any realistic or stylized graphical representation of the cannabis plant or its part or any realistic or stylized graphical representation of drug paraphernalia, and signs shall not include any wording that would identify the property as a medical marijuana dispensary or use clinic, botanical or colloquial (slang) terms.

Commission Voice Vote on Staff Recommendation:

All Ayes. Noted that signs should be primarily for identification purposes, rather than advertising.

Staff recommended adding definitions to Section 110-2.3 Definitions of Chamber 110 Zoning of the Hanover Park Municipal Code relating to Medical Cannabis Cultivation Center and Dispensing Facilities.

Commission Voice Vote on Staff Recommendation:

All Ayes

The Commission will continue its Medical Cannabis Public Hearing at their next meeting scheduled for February 13, 2014, and staff will incorporate the Commission's recommendations into their report.

Village Updates:

Hanover Square Shopping Center: The Village will be sending out an RFP for the sale and or redevelopment of the Center, while staff continues to work with updating the façade should the center not be sold. Staff will present the results of the RFP at an April Village Board meeting.

Harbor Freight continues to remodel the interior and exterior of their building that has been delayed due to weather conditions.

Car X. located on Irving Park Road, has applied for a building permit to remodel the building and reopen this facility.

10. ADJOURNMENT:

Motion by Commissioner Berthelot to adjourn, seconded by Commissioner Neil.

Voice Vote:

All AYES.

Motion Carried. Meeting adjourned at 9:16 p.m.

Recorded and Transcribed by:

Regina Mullen, Secretary
this 16th day of January 2014

Virginia Wachsmuth, Chairperson



**Village of Hanover Park
Community Development Department**

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairman Wachsmuth and members of the Development Commission

FROM: Shubhra Govind, Director of Community and Economic Development

SUBJECT: **Continued Public Hearing Re: Zoning Regulations pertaining to Distribution Facilities for Medical Marijuana (pursuant to Public Act 098-0122 Compassionate Use of Medical Cannabis Pilot Program Act)**

ACTION REQUESTED: **Workshop Discussion**

MEETING DATE: **February 13, 2014**

REQUEST SUMMARY:

Staff requests that the Development Commission formalize their recommendation to the Village Board regarding zoning regulations pertaining to the location and operation of Cultivation Centers and Distribution Facilities for Medical Marijuana (Cannabis).

BACKGROUND:

The Development Commission initiated a public hearing on November 14, 2013, as required by Resolution R-13-04, on the question of whether: 1) the Zoning Ordinance should be amended to include Distribution Facilities as a Special Use; 2) the Zoning or District Map should be changed; or 3) zoning text amendments are necessary; related to the Public Act 098-0122. The following meetings have been held subsequent to this process:

- On Dec. 5, 2013, the Development Commission continued the public hearing, following initial discussion.
- On January 9, 2013, the Board adopted Resolution R-14-02 extending a moratorium on cannabis dispensaries and related facilities for a 180-day period following January 1, 2014, the effective date of the Public Act 098-0122.
- On January 16, 2014, a workshop meeting was held for further detailed review to enable the Commission to make a formal recommendation. At this last meeting, staff identified several issues, provided discussion points and also made recommendations for each. The Dev. Commission had an opportunity to discuss each issue and determine the direction going forward.

In this memo, staff has summarized the majority consensus for each issue. The Development Commission now needs to make a formal recommendation to the Board. The Village Board will take these recommendations under consideration and take final action. Staff shall then prepare a draft Ordinance codifying the final determination by the Board for their formal adoption. As determined through a mapping process, using the state's criteria for buffer requirements, there is a very small portion of the village that would meet the criteria for the location of a

Cultivation Center. This is the area where Fuji Films is already located in, within the Turnberry Business Park. As such, the Development Commission's discussion focused on the location criteria for Dispensing Facilities.

As noted previously, since this issue is relatively new, municipalities are navigating uncharted waters. As such, staff's recommendations lean towards a more conservative approach. Once the facilities have been in operation for a period of time, and issues become clearer, it would be prudent to revisit the regulations and tweak them, if needed.

The following issues were discussed at the January 16, 2014 workshop meeting:

Issue: What zoning districts should these uses be allowed in?

Staff recommendation: Dispensing facilities be permissible in certain industrial districts. Additionally, these facilities be located at least 1000-ft from a residential use or any area zoned for residential use. Staff also recommended that a Dispensing Facility be located at least 1,000 feet from another dispensing facility, to discourage concentration in any geographical area.

Development Commission: Majority of the commission members felt the use should be allowed in business districts and not be restricted to Industrial districts only. However, majority did agree that Dispensing Facilities should be located at least 1,000 ft from another dispensing facility, to discourage concentration in any geographical area.

Issue: Should they be allowed as permitted, special or conditional uses?

Staff recommendation: Cultivation Centers and Dispensing Facilities be Special Uses in Industrial Districts.

Development Commission: Majority of the Commissioners felt it should be a Special Use, one indicated it should be a Conditional use, a minority felt it should be permitted by right, but not limited to Industrial Districts.

Issue: Should specific criteria be developed to evaluate potential locations?

Staff recommendation: Please see below a list of recommended criteria for the above mentioned issues.

Development Commission: The Commission did not see a need for specific criteria.

Issue: Should these be stand-alone buildings or is it acceptable for these uses to be located in a multi-tenant building?

Staff recommendation: That the use be located in stand-alone buildings, instead of a multi-tenant building, and that no drive-through be allowed.

Development Commission: The Commission unanimously stated either stand-alone or multi-tenant building will be acceptable. A majority of the Commission also stated that drive-through was acceptable.

Issue: Should retail of paraphernalia (to enable patients to ingest the medication) be permitted within the dispensaries?

Staff recommendation: Per the Police Department's recommendation, no drug paraphernalia be allowed to be sold at the Dispensing Facilities.

Development Commission: Unanimous support for sale of drug paraphernalia to be allowed to be sold.

Issue: Should there be any specific restrictions/requirements related to signage for the dispensaries?

Staff recommendation: Signs shall not include any realistic or stylized graphical representation of the cannabis plant or its parts or any realistic or stylized graphical representation of drug paraphernalia. Signs shall not include or any wording that would identify the property as a medical marijuana dispensary or use clinical, botanical or slang terms for cannabis, cannabis consumption, cannabis intoxication or drug paraphernalia including but not limited to "cannabis", "marijuana", "weed", "pot", "420", "joint", "Mary Jane", "ganja", "hash", "herb", "bong", etc.

Development Commission: Agree with staff recommendation. Noting that signage is to be primarily for identification purposes.

Summary of Development Commission Recommendations:

Add the following definitions to Section 110-2.3 Definitions of Chapter 110 Zoning of the Hanover Park Municipal Code:

Medical Cannabis Cultivation Center: A facility operated by an organization or business that is registered by the Department of Agriculture to perform necessary activities to provide only registered medical cannabis dispensing organizations with usable medical cannabis, per the Compassionate Use of Medical Cannabis Pilot Program Act, enacted by the State of Illinois effective January 1, 2014, as may be amended from time to time.

Medical Cannabis Dispensing Facility: A facility operated by an organization or business that is registered by the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation to acquire medical cannabis from a registered cultivation center for the purpose of dispensing cannabis to registered qualifying patients, per the Compassionate Use of Medical Cannabis Pilot Program Act, enacted by the State of Illinois effective Jan 1, 2014, as may be amended from time to time.

Add the following in the appropriate Section/zoning district where the uses are finally determined to be permissible in:

Medical Cannabis Cultivation Center: In those zoning districts in which a Medical Cannabis Cultivation Center may be located, the proposed facility must comply with the following:

1. Facility may not be located within 2,500 feet of the property line of a pre-existing public or private nursery school, preschool, primary or secondary school, day care center, day care home, or residential care home. Learning centers and vocational/trade centers shall not be classified as a public or private school for purposes of this section.

2. Facility may not be located within 2,500 feet of the property line of a pre-existing property zoned for residential use.
3. Facility may not conduct any retail sales.
4. For purposes of determining required parking, Medical Cannabis Cultivation Centers shall be classified as “Industrial - Research and Development” per Section 6.2.3 Schedule of Off-Street Parking Requirements: Industrial Uses.

Add the following in Section 110-5.10 BP Business Park District:

Section 5.10.3 Special Uses

- f. Medical Cannabis Cultivation Center

Medical Cannabis Dispensing Facility: In those zoning districts in which a Medical Cannabis Dispensing Facility may be located, the proposed facility must comply with the following:

1. Facility may not be located within 1,000 feet of the property line of a pre-existing public or private nursery school, preschool, primary or secondary school, day care center, day care home, or residential care home. Learning centers and vocational/trade centers shall not be classified as a public or private school for purposes of this section.
2. Facility may not be located in a home, apartment, condominium.
3. Facility should be located at least 1,000 feet from another medical cannabis dispensing facility, to discourage concentration in any geographical area.
4. For purposes of determining required parking, said facilities shall be classified as “medical/dental” per Section 6.2.3 (Schedule of Off-Street Parking Requirements: Services and Institutions).
5. Signs for a Dispensing Facility shall not include any realistic or stylized graphical representation of the cannabis plant or its parts or any realistic or stylized graphical representation of drug paraphernalia. Signs shall not include or any wording that would identify the property as a medical marijuana dispensary or use clinical, botanical or slang terms for cannabis, cannabis consumption, cannabis intoxication or drug paraphernalia including but not limited to “cannabis”, “marijuana”, “weed”, “pot”, “420”, “joint”, “Mary Jane”, “ganja”, “hash”, “herb”, “bong”, etc.

Add the following in Section 110-5.8. B-1 convenience shopping district

Section 5.8.3. *Special uses*

- o. Medical Cannabis Dispensing Facility

Add the following in Section 110-5.9. B-2 Local Business District

Section 5.9.3. *Special uses*

- f. Medical Cannabis Dispensing Facility

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Development Commission review the discussion from the Workshop meeting and make a formal recommendation to the Village Board related to the location of medical marijuana facilities.

Please note that Staff will forward both the issues - staff commentary and recommendations from Staff's perspectives, as well as the Development Commission's recommendations, for the Board to make their final determination.

ATTACHMENTS

Exhibit 1 – Newspaper articles



Gary Walrath, CEO, Stats LLC

STEPHEN J. SERIO

The new joint venture

Local governments worry about sites for marijuana dispensaries

BY MICAH MAIDENBERG

Now that Illinois has legalized medical marijuana, the entrepreneurs who aim to profit from the law face another big challenge: finding places to grow and sell it.

Farmers aiming to raise bumper crops of purple kush and store owners who want to redefine the notion of high-street retail are seeing their real estate options, already limited by the new state law, narrow by the day.

Local governments across the Chicago area are changing their zoning laws to force prospective growers and sellers to search for space in industrial districts. They're also considering barring them from signing leases in multitenant buildings because of security concerns over the all-cash businesses.

Landlords, meanwhile, are starting to ponder whether they would rent space to a tenant cultivating or selling what the federal government considers an illegal substance.

"The more sophisticated landlords are going to do some hard thinking about the impact on other tenants, their lender covenants, the impact on their insurance coverage and whatever kind of covenants they have with their equity sources," says Andrew Scott, an attorney in the Chicago office of Dykema Gossett PLLC.

Chicago-based Sitex Group LLC, which owns around 20 industrial buildings in suburban Chicago, isn't likely to rent to a medical marijuana grower or retailer, principal Cary Goldman says. "I'm a fiduciary for a pension fund and other investors that might not be interested in

See MARIJUANA on Page 12

TRACKING THEIR EVERY MOVE

Sports statistics company sees profits in new video technology

BY DANNY ECKER

If Gary Walrath can change the way people talk about basketball, he'll make his company a lot of money.

While most fans and media pundits analyze games and player performances through box score staples like points, assists and blocked shots, the CEO of Northbrook-based Stats LLC is pushing terms such as "points per touch," "rebound chances" and "secondary assists."

That's the language at the heart of SportVU, the company's player-tracking technology, which this season was installed in all 29 NBA arenas under a multiyear deal with the league.

See STATS on Page 11

He wants to be health care's go-between

Startup Avia assembles innovation squads for big hospital clients

BY JOHN PLETZ

Most people try to avoid getting caught in the middle.

Not Eric Langshur. He can't wait to get between big hospitals and startups that are trying to reinvent health care.

"Every CEO and CFO of every hospital around the country is facing pressure on reimbursement and revenue," says Mr.

Langshur, who a year ago launched Avia, a hybrid incubator and investing and consulting firm in Chicago. "No hospital board is saying, 'Let's continue business as usual.'"

The Affordable Care Act, blamed (or credited) for so much these days, isn't really what's giving Mr. Langshur his opening. Instead it's the Obama administration's earlier effort to

speed up the health care industry's switch to computerized medical records, first with financial incentives and soon with penalties for laggards. There's also the digital revolution, which makes more things possible, as well as reduced reimbursements from insurers and the government, which, in turn, are forcing cost-cutting.

The hitch for hospitals is



Eric Langshur

not evaluating early-stage companies," says Mr. Langshur, 50, Avia's CEO. "It's more efficient to let us do it."

knowing which vendor has useful technology. As for startups, it's hard to get an audience with a big institution. "Hospitals are in the business of providing care,

The startup has signed up 40 customers, mostly hospitals such as Northwestern Memorial HealthCare and UCLA Health System. They're paying Avia \$50,000 to \$250,000 annually to find new technology that can help them improve care and cut costs.

Avia also is an investor in health care startups through a holding company. It has made undisclosed investments in

See AVIA on Page 14



An employee at a Colorado marijuana dispensary prepares joints. The state allowed recreational-use sales this month.

ASSOCIATED PRESS

Pot dispensaries struggling to find sites to grow, sell

MARIJUANA from Page 3

us having that type of tenant," he says.

The Compassionate Use of Medical Cannabis Pilot Program Act took effect this month. The statute allows 22 cultivation centers to open, one in each state police district, and 60 retail dispensaries to open, spread across Illinois. Under the law, growers can't locate within 2,500 feet of schools, day care centers and residential areas,

while the retail stores are required to be at least 1,000 feet from schools and day care facilities and can't open near homes.

The law also allows local governments to enact "reasonable" ordinances to govern medical pot facilities. In Chicago, Ald. Edward Burke, 14th, and Mayor Rahm Emanuel introduced an ordinance in November that would restrict medical pot operations to manufacturing areas and require operators to secure a special land-use permit.

North suburban Lake County and its municipalities may forbid dispensaries from setting up shop in multitenant buildings. In Naperville, dispensary operators must win special approval from the city to open in commercial zoning districts. The village of Burr Ridge is restricting dispensaries to industrial areas, which include some office space, taking as much as 70 percent of the village's commercial-property inventory off the table, the town's community development director, Douglas Pollock, estimates.

"Real estate is one of the trickiest components," admits Sara Gullickson, the Illinois market representative at a consultancy called DispensaryPermits.com. The company is referring clients (36 as of last week) to Jason Hiller, a broker at Chicago-based Jameson Commercial, to find cultivation sites with up to 20,000 square feet and retail outlets as big as 10,000 square feet.

BUY, NOT LEASE

Blake Lange, 26, hopes to use his background in agriculture and lighting to win a state license to cultivate medical pot in the area. He won't share where he would locate the facility or how many square feet it would cover. He says he is planning to buy a property, in part because many landlords don't want to be associated with the industry.

"Nobody wants that going on in their building unless there's a pre-existing relationship," Mr. Lange says.

Lincolnshire pharmacist Joseph Friedman is part of a venture that hopes to open dispensaries in Deerfield, Chicago and a western suburb. He doesn't want to open them in industrial areas tucked away in hard-to-reach corners of his target markets. "This has to be a place where people want to be, want to go and feel comfortable going into," Mr. Friedman says.

After the zoning processes are settled, real estate brokers believe smaller landlords may cut deals with growers and dispensary operators, especially for space in lower-end buildings. "I think it's going to be the secondary market," says Chris Gray, vice president at Oakbrook Terrace-based NAI Hiffman, who specializes in industrial real estate.

Contact: micahm@crain.com

CRAIN'S

CHICAGO BUSINESS.

PHYSICIANS BREAKFAST HOLDING DOCTORS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CARE

Crain's Chicago Business hosts a moderated panel discussion examining issues affecting physicians and practices under the Affordable Care Act, including: population health, workforce issues and reimbursement.

Thursday, February 27, 2014

7:30 - 9:45 a.m. • Hyatt Regency, West Tower, Crystal Ballroom


151 E. Upper Wacker Dr. • Chicago, IL

SPEAKERS:



Brian Cole, MD, MBA

Orthopedic Surgeon, member, Midwest Orthopaedics at Rush



Joseph Golbus, MD, MBA

President & CEO, NorthShore Medical Group



Arvind Goyal, MD, MPH, MBA

Medical Director, Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services



Patrick Towne, MD

President, Cadence Physician Group

MODERATOR:



Andrew Wang

Health care Reporter, Crain's Chicago Business

PRESENTING SPONSOR:

COMCAST BUSINESS

CO-SPONSOR:



QUINLAN
SCHOOL of BUSINESS

Register at ChicagoBusiness.com/events • Email ccbevents@crain.com with any questions.

Individual Ticket \$85 • Table of 10 \$800 • Tickets are non-refundable

For Event Sponsorship opportunities contact Kate Van Etten, 312-649-5492, kvanetten@crain.com.